

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(Case No.: Sprint 1551; MBHB 00-777)

In re A	pplication of:	)		AUG
	Josh Zerlan et al.	)	Art Unit: 2858	AUG 0 6 2001 Pchnology Center 2600
Serial 1	No.: 09/842,550	)	Examiner: To be Assigned	
Filed:	April 25, 2001	)	Exammer. 10 00 7 655	igiiou
For:	Method and System for Automated Testing of Network Elements	) )		

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

To all sissioner for Patents
Ington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. Section 1.97-1.98, and in accordance with the duty of candor set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.56, applicants wish to make the following references of record in the aboveidentified application. Copies of the references cited below are enclosed along with a copy of completed Form PTO-1449.

## I. **CITED REFERENCES**

## **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

Docum	ient		
Numb	er	Date	Name
1) 4,022,9	79	5/10/1977	Smith
2) 4,430,5	30	2/7/1984	Kandell et al.
3) 5,060,2	26	10/22/1993	l Gewin et al.
4) 5,949,7	773	9/7/1999	Bhalla et al.
5) 5,953,6	547	9/14/1999	Patel et al.
6) 6,057,9	043	5/2/2000	Kweon et al.

**Other Documents** 

7) Test Equipment System – M II, Multi Call Generator, February 1, 2001, pages 1-3.

8) NeoPoint, Inc., Engineering Services Measurements Products, PORTABLE MCS,

February 1, 2001, pages 1-3.

9) NeoPoint, Inc., Engineering Services Measurements Products, PROACT PLUS

VERSION 1.0, February 1, 2001, pages 1-3.

10) NeoPoint, Inc., Engineering Services Measurements Products, DATALOGGER™

VERSION 2.0, February 1, 2001, pages 1-3.

11) NeoPoint, Inc., Engineering Services Measurements Products, Measurement Products,

February 1, 2001, page 1.

II. <u>Discussion</u>

Applicants submit that these documents, whether taken alone or in combination, fail to

show or suggest the claimed subject matter. Applicants request that the Examiner consider the

entirety of each document and make them of record in this application. Applicants' submission

of these documents for consideration is not to be construed as an admission that the documents

qualify as prior art to the claimed subject matter, a representation that a search has been made,

nor as an admission that the information is considered to be material to patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell, Boehnen, Hulbert & Berghoff

Date: July 24, 2001

by:

Edward K. Run<del>y</del>ar

Reg. No. 43,067

2